

Sr. No.123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17891 of 2021
Date of decision: 10.09.2021**

Renuka

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Lekhraj Nandal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner, working as a Deputy Superintendent in the office of Director, Sports and Youth Affairs Department currently at Gurugram is qua impugned order dated 12.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) vide which she has been relocated for temporary period of 6 months, allegedly, to accommodate respondent No.4, who had given a representation for being transferred to Gurugram in order to look after his ailing father.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that while on the other hand, the mitigating circumstances of the petitioner are far more pressing in nature, inasmuch as, she has to look after her young 29 years' daughter, who needs constant care and attention due to her current medical condition. She is stated to be suffering from some neuro disability. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner's husband's nature of job is such that he has to be on duty most of the time, being Inspector in the District Police at Gurugram. It is, therefore, all the more imperative that the petitioner being

practically a single parent has to be home in the evenings to look after her daughter.

Notice of motion.

On advance service, learned State counsel, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana and on instructions of Mr. Pankaj Nain, Director, Sports and Youth Affairs Department, who is present in Court in another matter, submits that the Director shall look into the grievance of the petitioner. In case, she files an appropriate representation, fresh orders qua her shall be passed.

In view of the aforesaid statement, without going into the merits of the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner as canvassed hereinabove, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation.

It is expected of the competent authority to take a sympathetic view given that it is difficult for an employee to relocate for a temporary period of 6 months, more particularly for a lady official, who has to attend her other domestic responsibilities as well.

Let a decision be taken by the competent authority within a period of 15 days from the date of her filing appropriate representation.

Disposed of accordingly.

10.09.2021
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No