

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.8605 of 2021
Date of Decision :03.11.2021**

Payal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Satnam Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Nirmal Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution the petitioners pray for a direction to the respondents-authorities to protect them, for they apprehended threat to their lives and personal liberty, at the instance of the private respondents.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court on 10.09.2021, had passed the following order:-

“Present criminal writ petition has been filed seeking direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 & 5.

It has been averred that the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 24.07.2003 while date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 18.06.2001. Copies of Aadhar Cards of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 to

this effect are attached as Annexures P-1 and P-3 respectively.

It has been submitted that petitioners are known to each other since long and they have decided to get married. However, respondents No.4 & 5 are opposed to the relationship of the petitioners because of which petitioner No.1 ran away from her house and started living with petitioner No.2. They have not yet solemnized marriage and are in a live-in-relationship. It is stated that the petitioners apprehend danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5. In this regard, the petitioners have submitted representation dated 06.09.2021 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.2 has undertaken to prepare FDR for an amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- in the name of petitioner No.1. The said FDR be prepared within four weeks and the copy of the same be produced in the Court on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 02.11.2021.

In the meanwhile, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation and take necessary action in accordance with law.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order, referred to above, has since been complied with as an FDR for an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- has since been prepared in the name of petitioner No.1. Documents furnished in this regard by learned counsel for the petitioners are taken on record as Mark-X.

On instructions from ASI Jagdish Lal, learned State counsel also submits that pursuant to the direction issued by this Court statement of

the petitioners as also respondent No.4 (Rajiv Kumar) who happens to be the father of petitioner No.1 were separately recorded. He submits that from the statement of the parties it is evident that there actually exists no threat or danger to the lives of the petitioners and, therefore, no cause of action survives.

The position, as indicated above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners, who submits that in the wake of the current position and the statement of respondent No.4, the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

03.11.2021
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No