

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18680-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.09.2021

Surinder Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nikita Garg, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana with
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Learned Senior counsel representing the petitioners admits that in view of the fresh permit issued in favour of the respondent, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. However, he states that the dismissal of the present writ petition as infructuous may not adversely impact the outcome of the other writ petitions.

Keeping in view of the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

27.09.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**