

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.119

**Civil Writ Petition No.17939 of 2021
Date of Decision : September 10, 2021**

Darshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that six applications for partition have been filed. The petitioner filed an application for clubbing all of them. The said application was allowed by the Assistant Collector Ist Grade. The same was challenged by respondent No.3 before the Commissioner by way of a revision petition. The said revision petition has been dismissed. He has further approached the Financial Commissioner by way of ROR No.220 of 2021, which is pending. In the said ROR, the petitioner has filed an application for clarifying order dated 12.03.2021, but the said application has not been decided till date. Milling season is about to start and if, the application is not decided early, the petitioner will not be allotted any paddy as the concerned authorities have rejected his application for allotment on the ground of pending partition proceedings.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 & 2 only.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and waives service.

Civil Writ Petition No.17939 of 2021

Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of
respondent No.32-SBI.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is
necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent
No.2 to take up the application filed by the petitioner in the pending ROR
No.220 of 2021 on the next date of hearing i.e. 15.09.2021 and decide the
same.

September 10, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No