

Hans Raj and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. A.P.S.Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Case heard by video conference.

On 02.02.2021, the following order had been passed by this
court:-

“By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondents no. 2 to 4, of FIR no. 40 dated 02.03.2020, registered at Police Station Sadar District Kapurthala, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 307/323/450/427/ 148/149 of the IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom . A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-4 with the petition.

Since lawyers are abstaining from work, none is present for the parties.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 23.02.2021. Learned counsel for the parties would need to address arguments in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab** and others 2014 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 482.

A gazetted officer is also directed to file a reply stating therein as to the nature of the offence committed as may be punishable under the provisions of the Arms Act, 1959 and the rules framed thereunder.”

Today at the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

February 23, 2021

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable: : Yes/No