

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.207

CRM-M-33492-2020

Date of decision: 12.01.2021

Yaugraj Singh @ Yuvraj Singh @ Jograj Singh Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0068 dated 30.05.2020 registered under Sections 363 and 366 IPC at Police Station Sadar Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner eloped with the complainant's minor daughter aged 16 years and at the time of such elopement the complainant's daughter took Rs.2.5 lakhs with her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is a young man aged 21 years, has been falsely implicated in this case; the matter between the petitioner and the complainant has been compromised as per which the complainant had lodged the FIR under some misunderstanding making the petitioner's chances of conviction extremely bleak; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; the complainant's daughter was recovered by the complainant on the very next day of the alleged incident and that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; under the interim orders passed by

this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation, he has also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Keeping in view the totality of the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has joined the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 26.10.2020 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No