

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17977 of 2021

Date of Decision: September 10, 2021

Dharampal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ankur Bali, Advocate for
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because demarcation is not being done despite application made to the Tehsildar for the said purpose. He alleges that the Gram Panchayat is encroaching upon the revenue *rasta* of the village and is persisting with the encroachment despite order of status quo granted by the civil Court. Thus, demarcation is essential.

Since representation for demarcation has been made before the Tehsildar on 27.07.2021, it would be appropriate for the petitioner to approach the superior revenue authority in the first instance.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file an appropriate representation before the appropriate revenue authorities.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

September 10, 2021

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**