

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37291-2021
Date of decision: 09.09.2021**

Dharamvir Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 417 dated 07.07.2021, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered on the complaint given by SDO (Operation), DHBVN with the allegations that petitioner, while applying for electricity connection for his house, has given a fake sale deed showing him and his wife as owner of the property.

Learned counsel further submits that in fact the action was initiated on a complaint given by one Virender Yadav, who has sought information regarding sale deed of the petitioner and the same has been supplied without taking consent of the petitioner in this regard.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is not having cordial relations with aforesaid Virender Yadav and, therefore, he

has given this complaint against the petitioner.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was granted interim bail by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge and he has joined the investigation, however, later on, the same was dismissed only on the premise that petitioner has failed to produce the original sale deed.

Learned State counsel submits that despite joining the investigation and taking two chances, the petitioner did not produce the original sale deed.

Be whatsoever, nothing is stated in the FIR as to what loss has been caused to electricity department/DHBVN as it is not the case of the complainant that petitioner has misused the electricity connection in any manner or he is not paying the bills regularly.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the Investigating Officer to call upon the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing him a written notice in this regard.

09.09.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No