

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205)

CRM-M-31995 of 2020

Date of Decision: 25.03.2021

Dr. Ankur Wadhera and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Kunwar Rajan, Advocate, for respondent no.6.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by way of video conferencing.

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioners seeks quashing/termination of a “re-enquiry” of Complaint no.9622/Peshi, dated 09.12.2019, “under the garb of new complaint no.4823/Peshi dated 20.08.2020”, pending before respondent no.4, as the same is alleged to be in violation of the order dated 01.04.2008 passed by respondent no.2, i.e. the DGP, Punjab.

It is further prayed that once respondent no.6 (complainant) has already filed a criminal complaint bearing no.529/2020 before the learned JMIC, Patiala, no re-enquiry qua the same complaint should have been conducted by the official respondents.

The matter having been referred to mediation at an earlier date, learned counsel for the petitioners, as also for respondent no.6, i.e. the wife of petitioner no.1, both submit that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties vide a compromise effected on 10.03.2021, and even a petition

under the provisions of Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has been filed.

That being so, with a specific query put to learned counsel for respondent no.6, as to whether this petition should be allowed, he submits that the said respondent has no objection to the petition being allowed.

Consequently, this petition is allowed, with the enquiry proceedings ordered to be quashed, i.e. they shall not be proceeded with any further and shall be filed away.

25.03.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No