

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CRWP-8494-2021

Date of decision: 08.09.2021

Renu Devi @ Sandeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Deep Goel, Advocate for
Mr. I.P.S.Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.5, who is the husband of petitioner No.1 and his relations-respondents No.6 to 9.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that after the demise of the first husband of petitioner No.1, she was married to respondent No.5, who was the younger brother of her deceased-husband. She had one child from the first marriage and two children were born from the second wedlock. Counsel submits that petitioner No.1 is being ill-treated, harassed and

repeatedly physically assaulted by respondent No.5 and, despite a compromise between the parties, his attitude did not improve. Counsel argues that petitioner No.1 has submitted representations to the police authorities, however, the same have not been attended to. Counsel asserts that the petitioners would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondents to look into the representation dated 16.08.2021, Annexure P-2.

Request made by counsel for the petitioner has been considered.

Without expressing any opinion on the allegations made in the petition or in the representations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala – respondent No.3 to examine the representation dated 16.08.2021, Annexure P-2 submitted by petitioner No.1 and thereafter to take appropriate steps as warranted in accordance with law in case any substance is found in the same.

A copy of this order as well as representation dated 16.08.2021, Annexure P-2 be communicated to respondent No.3 so as to enable him to do the needful preferably within a period of four weeks.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

08.09.2021
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No