

CRM-M-32051-2020

Date of Decision: 23.02.2021

Amit

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no. 2.Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no. 3.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR no. 142 dated 10.08.2020, registered at Police Station Narwana City District Jind, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 363/366-A of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

A reply has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State by way of an affidavit of Sh. Om Parkash Narwal, Deputy Inspector General of Police-cum-Superintendent of Police, Jind, dated 27.11.2020, in which it is stated that in fact now even respondent no. 2, (i.e. the complainant and the mother of respondent no. 3), has made a supplementary statement to the effect that her daughter is of the age of majority and has solemnized her marriage with the petitioner and she (respondent no. 2) does not want to proceed further in the matter.

The reply also states that as per the birth certificate of respondent no. 3, she was shown to be born on 29.12.2001, thereby obviously making her 19 years of age.

It has last been stated that in fact a cancellation report has been prepared accordingly by the police.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

February 23, 2021
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes
No.