

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

204

CRM-M-31677-2020

Date of Decision: 03.03.2021

Pardeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Pardeep** in case FIR No.17 dated 18.03.2020 under Section 379-A IPC, registered at Police Station GRP Jind, District GRP, Ambala Cantonment.

In compliance of order dated 13.10.2020, short reply dated 23.11.2020 by way of affidavit of Gurdial Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Railways, Haryana, Hisar, filed on behalf of respondent-State, is taken on record, in terms of which, as per record, besides instant case, no other case is registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner *inter alia* contends that version, as alleged in the FIR, is totally concocted one and there is no iota of truth therein. He further submits that in the instant case FIR, petitioner was arrested on 18.03.2020. He further urges that petitioner was granted interim

bail by this Court on 13.10.2020. He further urges that petitioner is no more

required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that challan has been presented in Court but charges are yet to be framed. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bar.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the contents of petition as well as reply furnished at the instance of respondent-State.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner was already granted interim bail by this Court on 13.10.2020; that challan has already been presented in Court and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bar, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, order dated 13.10.2020 granting interim bail to petitioner, is made absolute and petition stands allowed.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

03.03.2021
Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No