

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.214

CRM-M-36996-2021

Date of decision: 29.11.2021

Jhonny @ Sourav Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The arguments raised on behalf of the petitioner having not received a favourable response from the Bench and not to invite a decision on merits lest it may prejudice the case of the petitioner at a later stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

On 12.11.2021, this Court passed the following order:-

“On 08.11.2021 this Court passed the
following order: -

“In the affidavit filed on behalf of the State it is inter alia stated that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner, whereas in Para No.17 of the petition the petitioner has himself acknowledged his involvement in another case being FIR No.142 dated 20.12.2018 registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot.

Incidentally the above lapse on the part of the State, intentional or otherwise, is the second one today and that too from district Pathankot.

Adjourned to 12.11.2021.

S. S. P., Pathankot is directed to appear before this Court on the adjourned date.

Records of CRM-M-33580-2021 be tagged along.””

In pursuance to the above quoted order Mr.Surendra Lamba, SSP, Pathankot has appeared before this Court and filed his affidavit as per which the afore noted lapse on the part of the State for having not supplied the complete criminal antecedents of the petitioner has been admitted and regretted. It is further deposed that against the erring officials departmental action has also been initiated. The SSP has further issued a strict warning to all the DSP(s) and police officers in the district to remain extra vigilant, careful and punctual while filing replies/affidavits before this Court.

While taking the above affidavit on record, it is considered appropriate to direct SSP, Pathankot to take up the above lapses with the office of the Advocate General and then issue appropriate instructions, in writing, to all concerned in the district so that complete criminal antecedents of all the petitioners/applicants who approach this Court for bail/anticipatory bail are filed and that the status reports are also filed without any delay.

Since there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner, hearing of the matter is adjourned to 29.11.2021.”

Be put up on 16.12.2021, on or before which date, the State shall produce instructions issued by SSP Pathankot in terms of the afore-quoted order.

November 29, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No