

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-38006 of 2021
Date of Decision : 14.09.2021

State Bank of India and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that the Investigating Officer had summoned petitioner No.4 for 23.08.2021 and petitioner No.2 and 3 for 19.08.2021. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the Bank authorities summoned for 19.08.2021 by the Investigating Officer i.e. petitioners No.2 and 3 appeared and submitted the relevant documents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the required documents were already submitted on 19.08.2021, petitioner No.4 did not appear on 23.08.2021 in pursuance to the summons Annexure P/15.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as no further summons have been received from the investigating officer qua petitioner No.4, the petitioners be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty

to file a fresh one in case, the petitioners feels aggrieved with regard to the procedure being adopted by the investigating agency, which causes prejudice to them.

Ordered accordingly.

September 14, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No