

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31557-2020
Date of decision: 22.03.2021

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 22 dated 21.08.2020, registered under Sections 498-A, 406 of the IPC at Police Station NRI, District S. A. S. Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 03.11.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is the father-in-law of the complainant. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the son of the petitioner on 21.1.2019. Thereafter, the son of the petitioner left for Canada and despite efforts made by him, the complainant is not ready to accompany him to Canada.

Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner, to show his bonafide, the petitioner has already

prepared two demand drafts of Rs.One Lac and Rs.Two Lacs, respectively favouring the Registrar, Punjab and Haryana High Court to explore the possibility of some settlement.

Notice of motion for 16.12.2020.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Meanwhile, the petitioner will deposit the drafts with the Registrar (Judicial) of Punjab and Haryana High Court, who will keep it in the safe custody.

Notice be also issued to complainant-Sandeep Kaur for the date fixed.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 03.11.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no objection if the amount of Rs. 3 Lakh, deposited by him by way of demand drafts, is paid to complainant Sandeep Kaur.

As per Office report, fresh notice could not be issued to complainant Sandeep Kaur for want of complete/correct address.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any

further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 03.11.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

22.03.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No