

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.8145 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on:- February 08, 2021.

Rubinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

CRM-W-1271-2020

Exemption application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM-W-1272-2020

Reply on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 along with Annexures R-4/1 and R-4/2 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM stands disposed of.

CRWP No.8145 of 2020

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are major. Since they were known to each other and having love affair for the last 2 years and wanted to settle down their affairs by way of solemnization of marriage, they have solemnized marriage. He refers to the marriage certificate dated 04.10.2020 (Annexure P-3) issued by Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir (Regd.), M.D.C. Sector-6, Panchkula. The petitioners apprehend threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 for having solemnized marriage against the wishes of private respondents. The petitioners submitted a representation dated 05.10.2020 (Annexure P-4) addressed to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa for seeking such protection.

At this stage, Mr. R.S. Mamli, learned counsel for private respondents No.4 to 7 submits that they have no objection to the marriage statedly solemnized by the petitioners.

Perusal of the status report filed by way of affidavit of Kuldeep Beniwal, DSP, Dabwali on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 shows that during inquiry proceedings, respondents No.4 and 5 Joginder Singh and Paramjit Kaur respectively have stated that they have no objection if the petitioners are staying together and have solemnized marriage.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the private respondents as well as the status report furnished on behalf of the respondent-State, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

February 08, 2021
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No