

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106)

CRM-M-36736-2021

Date of Decision : September 06, 2021

Harpal Singh @ Pala

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, a cross DDR has also been registered and therefore, it is a case of version and cross-version and who is the aggressive party, is yet to be ascertained. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that number of persons, who were named in the complaint, have already been found innocent by the police and therefore, as the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and cooperate, he be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the allegations alleged against the petitioner are very serious in nature as he had given two blows with *kirpan* on the head of victim Balwinder Singh and the Balwinder Singh had to raise his arm to save himself from the said blow. Learned counsel for the respondent-State further submits that the injuries inflicted upon the victim have been declared as grievous and dangerous to life and allegations in the FIR are such that Section 307 IPC has also been included in the FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the allegations against the petitioner are very serious and the petitioner has inflicted grievous injuries upon the victim and the same are on the head of the victim, no ground is made out to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner especially when the weapons attributed to the petitioner are also yet to be recovered.

Dismissed.

September 06, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No