

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10890-2018(O&M)
Date of decision:18.02.2021**

Ajmer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

General Officer Commanding and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate for UOI

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

The petitioner has not been selected for contractual appointment as Laboratory Technician in Ex-Serviceman Contributory Health Scheme run by the respondents in Poly Clinic, Kurukshetra.

In reply, the respondents have taken stand that Ms. Amritpal Kaur performed better and was selected. It has further been explained that the petitioner was ranked as 21st in the merit list.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that 10 posts of Laboratory Technician were advertised and as per the instructions, 70% posts were reserved for Ex-Serviceman. He submits that therefore the respondents were required to appoint the petitioner.

On the other hand, Sh.Dhir, Advocate for the respondent, has pointed out that there was only one post of Laboratory Technician reserved for Ex-Serviceman category at Kurukshetra, against which Ms.

Amritpal Kaur was appointed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to substantiate his submission that there were more than one post at Kurukshetra. From the recruitment notice (Annexure P-4), it is apparent that there was only one post of Laboratory Technician at Kurukshetra.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to issue the writ is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

18.02.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes /No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE