

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36745-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2021

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 60 dated 02.06.2021, registered under Sections 379-B, 365, 341, 323, 427, 148 and 149 IPC, at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and he is not involved in any other case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner, all the injuries are simple in nature and the parties are known to each other. Moreover, co-

accused Raman Kumar, against whom there are specific allegations, has already been granted regular bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide order dated 22.07.2021.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for bail, submits that there is specific allegations against the petitioner that he alongwith other co-accused had pulled out the complainant and others from the car and inflicted injuries upon them, with iron rod, handle of spade and sticks. The petitioner was armed with iron rod.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

There are specific allegation against the petitioner and a specific role has been attributed to him. In view of the gravity of the offences committed by the petitioner, he is not entitled to the grant of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

07.09.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No