

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31602-2020

Date of Decision:25.01.2021

Mandeep Kaur @ Mamta

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

2.

Anita Rani

CRM-M-30015-2020

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

3.

Jatinder Kumar @ Jeetu Seth

CRM-M-33208-2020

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

4.

Gurmeet Singh

CRM-M-33576-2020

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

5.

CRM-M-36187-2020

Jagtar Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S.Nahel, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-31602-2020, CRM-M-36187-2020
& CRM-M-33576-2020

Mr. Baltej SinghSidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-33208-2020 &
CRM-M-30015-2020

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the complainant

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conference.

By these petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.80, dated 27.08.2020, having been registered at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 354, 325, 313, 148 and 149 of the IPC.

Pursuant to the order dated 28.09.2020 passed in CRM-M-30015-2020, a reply of the DSP, Sub Division, Dirba, has been filed; however, Mr. Sidhu learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has not received a copy thereof.

Be that as it may, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurtej Singh, submits that at least the custodial interrogation of the petitioners in all these petitions is not required.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, these petitions have actually been rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, they would be given 10 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon them.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, she would be always at liberty to avail of her remedy as per law.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

January 25, 2021

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO