

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M-36690-2021 (O&M)**

Date of Decision : November 02, 2021

BALVINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present : Mr. B.S. Dhillon, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.469, dated 24.06.2020 under Sections 406, 420 IPC, 1860 (Sections 467, 468, 201, 120-B IPC 1860 and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 have been added subsequently) registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 16.04.2021 and the investigation of the case is already complete and challan stands presented before the competent Court and the trial is likely to take long time and, therefore, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail. He submitted that it is a case where the allegations are that the petitioner had taken an amount of Rs.15,00,000/- from the complainant on account of sponsoring VISA for

U.K. He has submitted that the allegations are false against the petitioner and even otherwise also, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for long time since the investigation in the present case has already been completed. He has further submitted that the petitioner was also involved in four other cases, out of which three cases pertain to cheating and one case pertains to NDPS Act. However, the petitioner has been acquitted in three cases and in one case he is already on bail. He has further submitted that even otherwise also the pendency of other cases against the petitioner cannot disentitle him for the grant of bail.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has stated that the custody period of the petitioner is not in dispute and it is also not in dispute that the investigation of the present case is already complete and the challan stands presented. However, he has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and that he had forged the VISA and had taken money from the complainant.

Replying to the submissions made by learned State counsel, it has been argued by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegation of forging the VISA is also false because the VISA which has been referred to by the prosecution was of some other person, namely, Ankur, whereas allegations in the present case pertain to Ankur Manocha and therefore, it is still debatable issue.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The custody period of the petitioner is not in dispute. It is

also not in dispute that the investigation of the case is already complete. Furthermore, it is not the case of the State that in case the petitioner is released on bail then he may influence any witness or may tamper with any evidence or may flee from justice.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**November 02, 2021**  
ajay-1

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |