

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

218-2

CRM-M No.37432-2021

Date of decision:07.12.2021

Deepak Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.005, dated 17.07.2021 registered under Sections 420, 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 489-D and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station State Special Operations Cell, SAS Nagar, District Intelligence Wing (CID).

Police received a secret information on 17.07.2021 that Sarabjit Singh, resident of Dashmesh Nagar, Kharar was representing himself to be a police officer, Rajni Vinayak @ Khera Madam, Deepak Kumar, Attika Sikka in connivance with each other were indulging in selling of counterfeit currency. If the raid is conducted, counterfeit

currency and machinery used for making counterfeit currency can be recovered. The police acted upon the information and raided House No.44, Phase 3-A, Mohali. Five notes of denomination of Rs.2000/-, two printers, bottles of different chemical colours, one note counting machine were recovered from house. At the instance of Deepak Kumar (petitioner), pen drive was recovered

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was a Secretary in NGO run by Attika Sikka, she had taken on rent the house in question from Smt. Paramjit Kaur mother of Shri Satinder Singh, IPS, SSP, Mohali at the relevant time. There was litigation pending between the tenant and landlord and the police action was motivated. He further relies upon the fact that Attika Sikka and Rajni Vinayak were granted bail by the trial Court. He submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2021, the investigation is complete and the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail. However, on instructions, he fairly submits that the investigation is complete, no recovery is to be made.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the nature of allegation and that there was a background to the entire incident, co-accused have been granted bail, investigation is complete and conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be

construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.12.2021

pooja saini

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No