

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-36900-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.10.2021

Lovepreet Singh @ Lavi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Angad Chahal, Advocate, for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.0024 dated 16.02.2021 at Police Station Garhi, District Jind, under Sections 34/379-A/201 IPC, wherein the allegations in nutshell are that the petitioner/accused alongwith his companions had snatched the car of the complainant.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the matter has since been amicably resolved amongst the parties and a compromise has been effected and that the complainant does not have any objection for grant of bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned State counsel has, however, opposed the petition on the ground that apart from the allegations of snatching a car, the complainant subsequently made a supplementary statement as well

wherein he stated that his mobile phone and chain had also been snatched by the accused. It has further been submitted that the petitioner happens to be involved in two other cases and in these circumstances, he does not deserve the concession of bail. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioner as on date has been behind bars since the last about 6 months and that challan stands presented.

4. Learned counsel representing the complainant has endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that he has no objection for grant of bail, as the matter stands amicably resolved amongst the parties.
5. I have considered the aforesaid submissions addressed before this Court.
6. The petitioner has been behind bars for a substantial period of about 6 months. Challan already stands presented. In any case, the matter is stated to have been compromised amongst the parties. In these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

28.10.2021

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**