

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 17272 of 2021
Date of Decision: 03.09.2021.**

Sukhpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to determine the seniority of petitioner in view of Rule 11 of Punjab State Education Class-III (School Cadre) Service Rules, 1978 and grant her the benefit of notional pay fixation.

After arguing for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case legal notice dated 13.02.2020 (P-16) is considered and decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the aforesaid limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present through Video-Conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to

respondents No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-16) in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of four months from today.

03.09.2021.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

SN

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No