

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video conference)

CWP No.11761 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.01.2021

Veena Yadav and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. KK Gupta, Advocate

for Haryana School Education Board, Bhiwani.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for State of Haryana, by referring to the latest status report dated 18.12.2020 would state that writ petitions were filed by 255 petitioners out of which 249 have been given appointment and in the cases of six petitioners, there is report with regard to mis-match or absence.

Counsel for the petitioners would concede to the position and state that petition may be disposed of, in view of statement made by State Counsel.

In view of the aforesaid, petition stands disposed of having rendered infructuous but without prejudice to right of the petitioner to take recourse to appropriate remedy for grant of consequential benefits, if any.

13.01.2021

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: YES/NO

Whether reportable: YES/NO