

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.204-2

CRM-M-36493-2021

Date of decision: 28.10.2021

Harpreet Singh @ Happy

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Manku, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.73 dated 06.06.2021 under Sections 452, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC Police Station Talwandi Chaudrian, District Kapurthala.

On September 06, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner who is a 26 year old young man has been falsely implicated in this case due to enmity between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, simple injury is attributed to the petitioner; co-accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi who had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-33755-2021 - Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi vs. State of Punjab has been granted ad interim anticipatory bail and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.J.S.Ghumman, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 04.10.2021.

To be heard along with CRM-M-33755-2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's

joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.73, dated 06.06.2021, registered under Sections 452, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Talwandi Chaudrian, District Kapurthala, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 06.09.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

October 28, 2021
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No