

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**251**

**CRM-M-36186-2021**

Date of decision : 22.11.2021.

Kulwant Singh @ Teetu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

Heard through video conferencing.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court on 03.09.2021 had passed the following order:-

“Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondent no. 2, of FIR no. 0110, dated 26.07.2021, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 324/323/341/506/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice at the

-----

asking of the court on behalf of respondent no.1, with Ms. Manpreet Grewal, Advocate, appearing for respondent no.2. She will file a power of attorney duly executed by the aforesaid respondent in her favour well before the next date of hearing.

A copy of the petition be e-mailed to both learned counsel by counsel for the petitioner today itself

Adjourned to 18.11.2021.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners, as also respondent no. 2, would appear before the learned trial court/Ilaqa Magistrate upto 27.10.2021 to record their statements. The trial court/Ilaqa Magistrate would satisfy itself/ herself/himself with regard to the authenticity of the compromise and the fact that it has been arrived at without any kind of undue influence or pressure, and would thereafter send its/her/his report to this court, before the next date of hearing.

That court would also verify whether there is any other person involved in the occurrence, who is not a party to the present petition and whose consent for the compromise would be required, if this court comes to the conclusion that the FIR sought to be quashed can be so quashed. A gazetted officer is directed to file an affidavit stating therein whether there are any other criminal cases registered against the petitioners of like nature or otherwise.”

The report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malerkotla dated 09.11.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order

-----

of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

In view of the matter having compromised and the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR no.0110, dated 26.07.2021, under Sections 324/323/341/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 22, 2021**  
sonia gugnani

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |