

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-57-2021 (O&M) in
CWP-5089-2015
Date of Decision: 10.03.2021**

Vijay Lata

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. C.B. Goel, Advocate for the applicant/ petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the non-applicant/respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed on 1st September, 2020, the present application is for review of the dismissal order.

The petitioner is a subsequent purchaser of the land which was acquired. Petitioner failed in CWP-11015-1989 to get the notification acquiring her land quashed. Thereafter, CWP-5089-2015 was filed seeking declaration of deemed lapsing of land acquisition proceedings under Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while arguing the writ

petition relied upon order dated 30th January, 2018 of this Court wherein counsel for the respondents sought time to have instructions regarding feasibility of allotting alternative plot to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State contended that petitioner was subsequent purchaser and in light of law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Shanti Sports Club v. Union of India, (AIR) 2010 SC 433* and *Indore Development Authority v. Manoharlal and others etc., (AIR) 2020 SC 1496*, the challenge to the acquisition proceedings and seeking alternative plot was not tenable. The writ petition was dismissed having no merits.

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner contends that petitioner was entitled to alternative prayer i.e. direction to the respondents to consider her case for allotment of alternative plot.

The above issue was considered by this Court while dismissing the writ petition.

No ground is made for review, dismissed.

Since the review application is dismissed, CM-2957-2021 is rendered infructuous.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

**[RAJAN GUPTA]
JUDGE**

10th March, 2021

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | No |