

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(123)

CRM-M-36905-2021

Date of decision: - 07.09.2021

Raj Pal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present petition, onerous conditions have been imposed upon the petitioner for release of the vehicle on superdari and in somewhat similar facts, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while passing the judgment in **CRM-M-30659-2021** titled '**Sombir** Vs. **State of Haryana**', on 03.08.2021, had modified the similar onerous conditions imposed therein.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of

respondent-State.

Learned State counsel concedes that the prayer of the petitioner, as raised in the present petition, is covered by the decision in **Sombir's case (supra)** and he has no objection in case the present petition is disposed of in terms of the modified conditions mentioned in **Sombir's case (supra)**.

Keeping in view the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is also disposed of in terms of **Sombir's case (supra)**.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

September 07, 2021
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No