

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17182-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.11.2021**

Bimla Devi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for HSVP.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Petitioners herein are members of Residents Welfare Association Sectors 6, 7, 8, Urban Estate Jind.

The short prayer raised in the instant petition is for issuance of a mandamus directing the respondents/HSVP to re-calculate the element of enhanced compensation cost in view of the revised Policy dated 22.08.2019 and based thereupon raise a fresh demand and which the petitioners are ready and willing to pay.

Learned counsel representing the petitioners invited our attention to an order dated 25.02.2020 passed by a Coordinate Bench while disposing of CWP-3960-2018 and which reads in the following terms:

“Petitioners have posed a challenge to the demand notice whereby additional price is sought by the HUDA on account of enhancement in the compensation for development of Sector 8 Part, Jind.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for HUDA

submits that the amount has been recalculated in view of revised policy dated 22.08.2019. Ipso facto the demand notice already issued would stand withdrawn.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for HUDA, no cause of action survives in this petition. Same is hereby disposed of.

Needless to observe that the petitioners shall be at liberty to file afresh in case any grouse subsists after de novo exercise is completed by the HUDA.”

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, learned counsel representing respondents/HSVP very fairly admits that even though the exercise of re-calculations in terms of Policy dated 22.08.2019 for Sectors 6, 7, 8 and 9 part, Urban Estate, Jind has been carried out and a demand notice based thereupon has been issued, yet the calculation sheet in respect thereof has not been furnished to the petitioners.

He further submits that the calculation sheet would also be furnished to the petitioners within a period of two weeks from today.

In the light of such categoric stand taken on behalf of the respondents/HSVP, no further directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.11.2021
harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| i) Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) Whether reportable? | Yes/No |