

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17208 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- September 14, 2021

Aman Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents more particularly respondent No. 1 to consider his grievances and then to take necessary action. Learned State counsel representing the respondents states that the respondents would abide with any such direction issued by this Court.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to be precise to respondent No. 1 to consider the grievances of the petitioner in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order and if some action in the matter is warranted then the needful be done in accordance with law. A speaking order in that regard be passed which be conveyed to the petitioner. For the purpose of such consideration, the present writ petition

along with annexures be taken as representation by the petitioner. If the petitioner feels dissatisfied after passing of the order then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

September 14, 2021
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No