

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.122

CRWP No.8305 of 2021

Date of Decision: 02nd September, 2021.

Jagdeep Kaur & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks the permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioners would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, exclusively praying therein for the protection of their lives and liberty. He further prays that the said respondent be directed to take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners within some specific time frame.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-said submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having

been withdrawn, with the observations that in case, the petitioners move any fresh representation to respondent No.2 specifically restricting their prayer therein to the protection of their lives and liberty only, then the said respondent shall look into the same and if the petitioners would be found to be genuinely deserving the protection of their lives and liberty, then he would take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of ten days.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.09.2021.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No