

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

258

CRM-M-36085-2021
Date of Decision : 29.11.2021

Anand Ravidas

..... Petitioner

vs

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

2.

CRM-M-42982-2021

Ravi Kumar Ram

..... Petitioner

vs

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-36085-2021.

Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-42982-2021.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. CRM-M-36085-2021 is a petition for regular bail to the petitioner-Anand Ravidas in connection with FIR No. 0289 dated 27.06.2021 under Section 420 of the IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sector-5, Pachkula.

2. CRM-M-42982-2021 is a petition seeking anticipatory bail on behalf of co-accused Ravi Kumar Ram.

3. According to the FIR, an amount of Rs.3,90,000/- had been fraudulently withdrawn from the account of the complainant who is an old senior citizen by practicing deception. Amount of Rs.50,000/- were found to have been passed on to each of the present petitioners nine (9) other persons involved in the scam are reported to be absconding.

4. After completion of investigation, challan against the petitioner -Anand Ravidas has already been filed.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State, both the petitions are allowed subject to the condition that each of the petitioner shall deposit an amount of Rs.1,95,000/-thereby totaling the actual amount which was wrongfully taken over from the complainant's account. This condition is being imposed especially in view of the fact that both the petitioners are residents of the State of Jharkhand, which is far away from the jurisdiction of this Court and it is not certain how far their presence for the purpose of facing trial can be practically procured in future.

6. Consequently, petitioner-Ravi Kumar Ram may be released on anticipatory bail subject to the depositing the said amount of Rs.1,95,000/- by way of security in the Court of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, Panchkula. Similarly, the petitioner-Anand Ravidas may, at this stage, be released on regular bail subject to his separately depositing an equivalent amount and security in the same Court. Although, it is pressed by learned counsel for the petitioners that the security may not be disbursed to the complainant till final disposal the trial but this Court is not convinced with such submission in its entirety and directs that if at any stage, unnecessary adjournments in

trial are sought for on behalf of the petitioners, the Learned Trial Court would be at liberty to consider the prayer of the complainant for withdrawal of the security amount sympathetically.

7. Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose appropriate stringent conditions to secure presence of the petitioners, who are residents beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

29.11.2021

anju

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No