

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)

**CWP No. 15807-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.02.2021**

Tinku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition with regard to keeping the departmental enquiry in abeyance but prays that in case any order causing prejudice to the petitioner is passed, he be given liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to him under the law by taking all the available objections to the petition.

Ordered accordingly.

As the main case has been dismissed as withdrawn, CM No. 12569-CWP-2020 has become infructuous.

February 09, 2021
kanchan

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No*