

**235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8351-2021

Date of Decision: 13th October, 2021

Anand Bhardwaj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Vaibhav Prashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This criminal writ petition was filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking directions to the official respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representation dated 27th July, 2021 and to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioner and family.

Reply dated 06.10.2021 filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the pleadings in the reply, the contents of the complaint made by the petitioner were inquired into and on the basis of the evidence collected, FIR No. 382 dated 30.09.2021 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 452, 506 IPC was registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

It is further pleaded that report was called for with regard to threat perception of petitioner and his family members, it reveals that there

is no threat to life and liberty of the petitioner and his family. The

patrolling around the house of the petitioner has been increased and in case of threat perception in future, petitioners can approach the local police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of registering of the FIR certain grievances still survives.

In view of the pleadings in the reply filed no further directions are called for in this criminal writ petition.

The present criminal writ petition is disposed of.

However, petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievances, if any.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13th October, 2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No