

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.110

CRWP No.8234 of 2021

Date of Decision: 31.08.2021

Rozy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks the permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would be moving a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, exclusively praying therein for the protection of her life and liberty only. He further prays that the said respondent be directed to take appropriate action on the said fresh representation of the petitioner, within some specific time frame.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case, in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-said submission as well as the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

.....

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn, with the observations that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to respondent No.2 specifically restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, then the said respondent shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the protection of her life and liberty, then he would take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of ten days.

31.08.2021
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>