

Neetu

...Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar Chopra and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. P.K.Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. The instant petition has been filed for initiating action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful breach of undertaking made on 09.04.2019 in CWP No.11266 of 2017.

3. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to comply with the undertaking made by the State Counsel in CWP No.11266 of 2017 but now order dated 19.08.2021 has been passed by respondent No.1, rejecting the claim of the petitioner, therefore the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 19.08.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. Notice has yet not been issued in the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, especially

passing of order dated 19.08.2021 by respondent No.1, in compliance of order dated 09.04.2019 in CWP No.11266 of 2017, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents.

6. Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of*, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 19.08.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

13.09.2021  
'Rajneesh/Amit'

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |