

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

CRWP-8191-2021

Date of Decision:14.10.2021

ROHIT KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No.4 to 6.

In response to notices issued to the respondents, reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Shahabad, Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, wherein it has been stated that the statements of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 6 have been recorded. It has been submitted that respondents No.4 to 6 in their statements have stated that they will not harm the petitioners and the petitioners in their statements have submitted that there is no threat perception from the private respondents.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the affidavit filed by the State, the petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

14.10.2021

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No