

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30294 of 2020.

Decided on:- January 14, 2021.

Suraj.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.120 dated 21.08.2020 under Sections 148, 149, 427 and 506 IPC (Sections 379-A IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 added later on) registered at Police Station Sector-20, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is based on false facts. The petitioner is dealing in sale and purchase of fruits and vegetables and for this reason, he is on visiting terms in the fruit market. The petitioner is in custody since 23.08.2020 and the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody of petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 23.08.2020 and the trial is not likely to be culminated in near future, this Court deems it appropriate to release the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

January 14, 2021
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No