

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CRM-M-35487-2021
Date of decision: 31.08.2021**

Sonu**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 557 dated 14.08.2021, registered under Sections 306, 34 of the IPC at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Rajwanti, it is stated that her son Sandip Kumar was married to Sonu in the year 2018. Later on, the petitioner and her family members registered a false case of dowry and beating against her son Sandip Kumar. Even subsequently, they used to threaten Sandip Kumar by implicating him false cases. It is also stated that whenever Sandip Kumar used to go to Court to attend the proceedings, the petitioner and her parents used to threaten him for involving him in other cases and even in the Panchayat, convened between

the parties, their attitude remained same. In many Panchayats, brother of the complainant, namely Satpal, was also present and was a witness to the same. It is further stated that on account of the continuous harassment at the hands of petitioner and her family members, Sandip Kumar committed suicide.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is no allegation of abetment against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, however, submits that petitioner is the wife of deceased Sandip Kumar and she was harassing him by filing complaints against him and then by extending threats to him during the Court proceedings.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant her concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

31.08.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No