

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CWP No. 16813 of 2021
Decided on :31.08.2021**

Takdir Singh

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

(The proceedings are being conducted through
video conferencing as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of the impugned current service profile (Annexure P-7), wherein Government Middle School, Dungreja (School Code 6089), District Nuh, Mewat is shown as his current place of posting instead of Government Girls High School, Retauli Kabulpur (School Code 2789), District Rohtak as current place of posting. Further prayer has been made for issuance of directions to the respondents to release the salary of the petitioner for the months of April, May and July, 2021 alongwith the salary of August, 2021.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of the transfer at the school at Mewat, he had approached this Court by filing CWP No. 27387 of 2019, Vijay Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and another, in which, he was arrayed as petitioner no. 6. Initially, stay was granted on 24.09.2019 as he had been transferred to Mewat, which was out of Cadre. Resultantly, the respondents had taken affirmative action on 04.10.2019 (Anexure P-4) and allowed him rejoin back at Rohtak (Annexure P-6). It is submitted that in spite of that, his profile has been wrongly shown, which will adversely effect him also during the Teachers

Transfer Drive, which is underway. He further points out that the said writ

petition has been disposed of on 24.08.2021 in view of ***CWP No. 15018 of 2021, Vikram Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others***, which was also disposed of on the same lines in view of the affidavit of the State alongwith large number of cases. It is submitted that on account of discrepancy in the profile, the school at Rohtak has also written on 03.08.2021 (Annexure P-8) to the concerned authorities for necessary rectification of his portal.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Keeping in view the above, this Court is of the opinion that there is no requirement for the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 2 to treat the present writ petition as a representation and take affirmative action on the discrepancy which is shown in the portal in view of the above facts and in view of the judgment in *Vikram Singh's case (supra)*. The aspect of non-payment of salary on account of mistake in the portal shall also be looked into by the said respondent and in case there is any legal impediment as such, speaking order be passed and communicated to the petitioner. Needful be done at the earliest.

31.08.2021
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No