

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CRWP No. 8420 of 2021

Date of Decision : 08.09.2021

Mahfooz and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view advance copy given)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the investigation, which is being done in the present case, is only to help the accused persons. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were being pressurized to compromise the matter with the accused persons but as the petitioners did not succumb to the said pressure, the police recorded the statement of the Sarpanch that the petitioners have compromised and on the basis of the said statement, accused persons filed a bail petition, which was not accepted by the Court also. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that this act clearly proves that the investigation is not being done properly in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the said

grievance has already been raised by the petitioners before respondent No. 4-Superintendent of Police, Nuh vide representation dated 10.08.2021 (Annexure P-2), which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 4 to decide the representation dated 10.08.2021 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 10.08.2021 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, respondent No. 4 is directed to decide the representation dated 10.08.2021 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within a period of three weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present petition stands disposed of.

September 08, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*