

**129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16783 of 2021
Date of Decision: 31.08.2021.**

Naib Khan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to step up the pay of petitioner at par with pro-forma respondent No.3 with effect from 01.04.2015.

After arguing for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case representation dated 12.11.2020 (P-9) is considered and decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the aforesaid limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present through Video-Conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid representation (P-9)

CWP No.16783 of 2021

(2)

in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of four months from today.

31.08.2021.

SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No