

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-36833 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 15, 2021

Anil Kumar @ Laddi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Vishal Sharma Vasudeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Accused/petitioner Anil Kumar @ Ladi has come up in this third regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. moved in FIR No.0061 dated 06.11.2014 under Sections 382, 392, 394, 395, 386, 353, 354, 447, 482, 108, 506 and 509 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 and under Sections 15, 18, 21, 22, 25, 27 and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985, (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Gardhiwal, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab.

The first two bail applications stood declined on 29.05.2019 and 24.09.2020 by this Court.

Heard Mr. Vishal Sharma Vasudeva, Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab and perused the records.

Upon the basis of a secret information that accused alongwith his co-accused non/applicants in all numbering around 22 persons were doing illegal activities and in the process were managing to procure intoxicating substances to be supplied to the youngsters in the area besides looting vehicles, carjacking etc. It is on the basis of this information on 17.11.2014, the petitioner was apprehended and from his conscious possession 90 grams of intoxicating substance alongwith a car were got recovered.

Mr. Vishal Sharma Vasudeva, learned counsel for the petitioner has argued at the length that the petitioner is in custody since a long time and most of the cases so registered against him he stands acquitted and there is not any iota of evidence against him in the commission of the present offence and prayed for allowing the bail.

The learned State counsel Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab has opposed the grant of the bail on the grounds that the petitioner is involved in more than a dozen criminal cases and in most of these there has been conviction of serious offences and if allowed bail there is every likelihood of the petitioner absconding.

Appreciating the submissions what has reflected from the criminal track record of the petitioner that he is a habitual gangster dealing in narcotics as well as robbing people and committing dacoities. In the light of prevalent law and order situation in the State and that the election to the State especially is around the corner, the claim of the State if allowed bail there is every likelihood of the petitioner absconding is not unfounded. Mere long incarceration of the petitioner is not a ground to allow the bail.

Finding no merit in the petition, the same is dismissed.

September 15, 2021
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No