

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16801 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 31.08.2021

Aashish

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Aashish has brought the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India against respondents State of Haryana and others, praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider his claim for appointment on compassionate basis in terms of Government instructions dated 02.08.2019 (Annexure P12) for the reason that father of petitioner, namely Sh. Hansraj, who was working as Secretary in Municipal Council, Tohana had died while in service on 01.01.2019.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner had applied for appointment on compassionate ground within stipulated period on 07.12.2019 but to no effect. He had submitted a representation dated 20.05.2020, copy Annexure P-7 with subsequent reminder on 12.12.2020 (Annexure P-11) to the respondents but that did not evoke any response.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider his representation dated 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-7) and reminder dated 12.12.2020 (Annexure P-11) and then to take appropriate action in the matter.

Learned State counsel states that such respondents would comply with any such direction issued by this Court.

Heard.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representation dated 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-7) and reminder dated 12.12.2020 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner as per law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law, within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, by way of passing a detailed speaking order.

It is clarified that in case the petitioner still feels dissatisfied after disposal of his representation, then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances.

31.08.2021

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No