

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.114

CRWP No.8167 of 2021

Date of Decision: 27.08.2021

Joginder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners herein seek the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to protect their lives and personal liberty and also directing respondents No.3 and 4 not to interfere in their personal lives and liberty and not to allow anyone to interfere with the same.

Shorn and short of unnecessary details, the averments, as canvassed by the petitioners in the instant petition, are that on 19.08.2021, some persons, in plain clothes, entered into their house and later-on, some heavily armed police officials, also came there and inquired about Bhola Singh, the son of petitioner No.1, while disclosing that he was required to be interrogated in connection with the FIR bearing No.179 dated 18.08.2021, registered at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarntaran, under Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC.

.....

Petitioner No.1 informed the above-said persons that he had disinherited his son Bhola Singh in the year 2018 and was not in contact with him since then, but the said police officials noted the names, parentage and mobile phone numbers of all the petitioners and searched every nook and corner of their house.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has joined the proceedings on behalf of all the respondents in this case, in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and she, on the instructions from Inspector Navdeep Singh, Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarntaran, apprises the Court that the afore-named son of petitioner No.1 has been nominated as an accused in the above-said FIR and besides the said case, he is involved in two more criminal cases and therefore, the police officials from Police Station Goindwal, after informing and taking the local police officials along, raided the house of the petitioners on 19.08.2021 and 25.08.2021, in search of said Bhola Singh and while doing so, the prescribed procedure was strictly followed.

Though petitioner No.1 has averred that he had disinherited his son Bhola Singh in the year 2018, but however, it is worthwhile to mention here that petitioner No.5 named Sonia, who has joined hands with the remaining petitioners to prefer the present petition, is none other than the wife of said Bhola Singh only.

Keeping in view this fact as well as the above-discussed submissions as made by learned State counsel, this Court is of the

.....

considered opinion that the present petition, being sans any merit, deserves dismissal. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

27.08.2021
monika

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>