

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

122

**CWP-18017-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.09.2021**

Naveen Kumar

... Petitioner

VS.

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.through
its Chairman-cum-Managing Director & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner-Naveen Kumar stated to be aged 35 years, has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with the prayer for quashing the order dated 15.03.2021 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2 and to decide the legal notice dated 27.04.2021 (Annexure P-7) along with further relief as mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for same/similar grievance the petitioner had got served a legal notice upon respondents on dated 27.04.2021 (Annexure P-7) but the same has not been decided till date.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1/competent authority to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice dated 27.04.2021 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, by passing a speaking order within **four months** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is found entitled on merits to the claim as raised in the legal notice, the same be disbursed within **one month** from the date of decision of the legal notice.

So ordered.

13.09.2021
Shruti

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No