

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.27422 of 2019 (O&M)

Decided on: 10.11.2021

Suresh Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Durgesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.355 dated 17.04.2019, registered under Sections 420, 406, 506,
120-B IPC at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal.

The operative part of the order dated 05.07.2019, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is
reproduced as under:-

“....Heard.

*The allegations against the petitioners are that they
are running the business of travel agency.*

*The petitioners alleged that petitioner No.1 is
having dispute with his brother Gobind Ram and the
complainant is related to his brother Gobind Ram, as a
result of which petitioners have been falsely implicated in
this case.*

*Learned State counsel submits that the police has
yet not verified that the petitioners are having any
business of travel agency and about the allegations
levelled by the complainant. Hitesh Kumar, who allegedly
agreed to be sent to USA, is 21 years of age and has*

*qualification of 10+2.
List on 16.09.2019....”*

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 05.07.2019, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

However, on request made by counsel for the State, the petitioners were re-directed to join the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

Reply by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Karnal, is also on record and as per the reply, the petitioners and the complainant have settled the dispute as the petitioners have paid the entire amount of Rs.5.10 lacs to the complainant.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 05.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.11.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No