

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.30031 of 2020
Date of Decision:08.03.2021

BUNTI SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.71 dated 05.07.2018 registered under Section 15 of NDPS, Act, 1985 at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dhar Kalan, Pathankot filed in the Court, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the alleged recovery effected from the petitioner and co-accused is 189kg poppy husk. He further states that the petitioner has been in custody since 28.11.2019. He further states that the trial would take a long time to conclude and thus, pending trial, the petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer for bail states that the recovery effected from the petitioner and co-accused is of huge quantity and three co-accused are still absconding. He, while referring to the custody certificate, submits that the petitioner is involved in another case under the NDPS Act and hence, keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The recovery effected from the petitioner is of a huge quantity of poppy husk. Thus, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for the withdrawal of the present petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

March 08, 2021

Jyoti-IT Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No