

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30017-2020

Date of Decision:22.01.2021

Pankaj Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conference.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.137, dated 16.08.2020, having been registered at Police Station Women, NIT, Faridabad, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC.

On 15.10.2020, the following order had been recorded by this court:-

“All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Pursuant to the order 14.10.2020, Inspector Geeta, SHO, Police Station Women, NIT, Faridabad, is present along with the complainant, Babita, by way of a video conference; and upon a question put to her, she has stated that she has received a draft of Rs.20,000/- issued in her favour at the instance of the petitioner and that in fact she wants to reconcile and live with the petitioner.

That being so, to try and ensure that the behavior and conduct of the petitioner towards his wife remains good, this petition is kept pending, with the interim order issued in his favour ordered to continue till the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 22.01.2021.

It is to be noticed that the SHO, Police Station SGM Nagar, Faridabad, is also present by way of video conference.”

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Geeta, SHO, Police Station Women, NIT, Faridabad, who is also present in the video conference, submits that the matter has in fact been settled between the petitioner and the complainant, i.e. the petitioners' wife, with both now residing together.

Inspector Geeta has also submitted on query that, therefore, the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required.

Consequently, this petition has actually been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

January 22, 2021

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO